

**Rule 3.992(a) Criminal Punishment Code Scoresheet**

The Criminal Punishment Code Scoresheet Preparation Manual is available at: <https://www.fdc.myflorida.com/statistics-and-publications>

1. DATE OF SENTENCE 06/15/2026	2. PREPARER'S NAME SAO, JACKSON	3. COUNTY HILLSBOROUGH	4. SENTENCING JUDGE L. LEFLER	
5. NAME (LAST, FIRST, MLL.) DINGLER, AMANDA	6. DOB 02/23/1979	8. RACE WHITE	10. PRIMARY OFF. DATE 05/17/2022	12. PLEA TRIAL <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>
	7. DC #	9. GENDER FEMALE	11. PRIMARY DOCKET # 22CF11685	

**I. PRIMARY OFFENSE:** Qualifier:

FELONY DEGREE	F.S.#	DESCRIPTION	OFFENSE LEVEL	POINTS
3	817.568(2)(A)	FRAUD USE OF PERSONAL ID	4	22

(Level – Points: 1=4, 2=10, 3=16, 4=22, 5=28, 6=36, 7=56, 8=74, 9=92, 10=116)

Prior capital felony doubles Primary Offense points

I. \_\_\_\_\_

**II. ADDITIONAL OFFENSE(S):** Supplemental page attached

DOCKET #	FEL/MM DEGREE	F.S.#	OFFENSE LEVEL	QUALIFY: A/S/C/R	COUNTS	POINTS	TOTAL
22CF11685	3	817.61	2		1	1.2	1.2
DESCRIPTION	FRAUD-CREDIT-CARD						
22CF11364	3	831.02	2		1	1.2	1.2
DESCRIPTION	UTTER FORGED INSTRUMENT						
22CF11364	3	817.568(2)(A)	4		2	3.6	7.2
DESCRIPTION	FRAUD USE OF PERSONAL ID						
22CF5689	3	817.568(8)(A)	1		2	0.7	1.4
DESCRIPTION	ID FRAUD-DEAD PERSON						

(Level – Points: M=0.2, 1=0.7, 2=1.2, 3=2.4, 4=3.6, 5=5.4, 6=18, 7=28, 8=37, 9=46, 10=58)

Prior capital felony doubles Additional Offense points

Supplemental page points 0.7  
II. \_\_\_\_\_

**III. VICTIM INJURY:**

	Number	Total		Number	Total
2 <sup>nd</sup> Degree Murder	240 X _____	= _____	Slight	4 X _____	= _____
Death	120 X _____	= _____	Sex Penetration	80 X _____	= _____
Severe	40 X _____	= _____	Sex Contact	40 X _____	= _____
Moderate	18 X _____	= _____			

III. \_\_\_\_\_

**IV. PRIOR RECORD:** Supplemental page attached

FEL/MM DEGREE	F.S.#	OFFENSE LEVEL	QUALIFY: A/S/C/R	DESCRIPTION	NUMBER	POINTS	TOTAL
3	893.13(6)(A)	3		POSS.CONTROL.SUBS/OTHER	3	X 1.6	= 4.8000
3	831.02	2		UTTER FORGED INSTRUMENT	1	X 0.8	= 0.8000
3	812.014(2)(C)1	2		GRAND THEFT \$750+LT5K	1	X 0.8	= 0.8000
3	817.52(3)	2		FAIL TO RETURN RENTED V	1	X 0.8	= 0.8000
3	817.61	2		FRAUD-CREDIT-CARD	1	X 0.8	= 0.8000
2	810.02(3)(B)	7		BURGL UNOCC DWELLING	1	X 14	= 14.0000
2	812.019(1)	5		TRAFFIC IN STOLEN PROPE	1	X 3.6	= 3.6000
3	539.001(8)(B)8 A	1		FALS INF. TO PWNBRKR<\$3	1	X 0.5	= 0.5000
3	832.05	2		DEP.CHECK W/INT DEFRAUD	1	X 0.8	= 0.8000

(Level – Points: M=0.2, 1=0.5, 2=0.8, 3=1.6, 4=2.4, 5=3.6, 6=9, 7=14, 8=19, 9=23, 10=29)

Supplemental page points 0.8000  
IV. \_\_\_\_\_  
Page 1 Subtotal: xPg1ST



**Rule 3.992(b) Supplemental Criminal Punishment Code Scoresheet**

<b>NAME (LAST, FIRST, MI. I.)</b> DINGLER, AMANDA	<b>DOCKET #</b> 22CF11685	<b>DATE OF SENTENCE</b> 06/15/2026
--	------------------------------	---------------------------------------

**II. ADDITIONAL OFFENSE(S):**

DOCKET #	FEL/MM DEGREE	F.S.#	OFFENSE LEVEL	QUALIFY: A/S/C/R	COUNTS	POINTS	TOTAL
22CF5689	3	817.5685	1		1	0.7	0.7
DESCRIPTION	POSS PRSNL ID INF 5+ PERSONS						
DESCRIPTION							
DESCRIPTION							
DESCRIPTION							
DESCRIPTION							

(Level – Points: M=0.2, 1=0.7, 2=1.2, 3=2.4, 4=3.6, 5=5.4, 6=18, 7=28, 8=37, 9=46, 10=58)

II. 0.7

**IV. PRIOR RECORD:**

FEL/MM DEGREE	F.S.#	OFFENSE LEVEL	QUALIFY: A/S/C/R	DESCRIPTION	NUMBER	POINTS	TOTAL
3	831.01	2		FORGERY/UTTERING	1	X 0.8	= 0.8000
						X	=
						X	=
						X	=
						X	=
						X	=

(Level – Points: M=0.2, 1=0.5, 2=0.8, 3=1.6, 4=2.4, 5=3.6, 6=9, 7=14, 8=19, 9=23, 10=29)

IV. 0.8000

**Reasons for Departure – Mitigating Circumstances**  
(reasons may be checked here or written on the scoresheet)

- Legitimate, uncoerced plea bargain.
- The defendant was an accomplice to the offense and was a relatively minor participant in the criminal conduct.
- The capacity of the defendant to appreciate the criminal nature of the conduct or to conform that conduct to the requirements of law was substantially impaired.
- The defendant requires specialized treatment for a mental disorder that is unrelated to substance abuse or addiction, or for a physical disability, and the defendant is amenable to treatment.
- The need for payment of restitution to the victim outweighs the need for a prison sentence.
- The victim was an initiator, willing participant, aggressor, or provoker of the incident.
- The defendant acted under extreme duress or under the domination of another person.
- Before the identity of the defendant was determined, the victim was substantially compensated.
- The defendant cooperated with the State to resolve the current offense or any other offense.
- The offense was committed in an unsophisticated manner and was an isolated incident for which the defendant has shown remorse.
- At the time of the offense the defendant was too young to appreciate the consequences of the offense.
- The defendant is to be sentenced as a youthful offender.
- The defendant is amenable to the services of a post adjudicatory treatment-based drug court program and is otherwise qualified to participate in the program.
- The defendant was making a good faith effort to obtain or provide medical assistance for an individual experiencing a drug-related overdose.

Pursuant to 921.0026(3) the defendant's substance abuse or addiction does not justify a downward departure from the lowest permissible sentence, except for the provisions of s. 921.0026(2)(m).